

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/728,873	12/08/2003	Paul McGlynn	00324/US1	1167
24330 7590 12/21/2006 Martin A. Hay 13 Queen Victoria Street			EXAMINER	
			PUTTLITZ, KARL J	
Macclesfield Cheshire UK, SK11 6LP UNITED KINGDOM		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	1621	
•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/21/2006	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/728,873	MCGLYNN ET AL.			
Examiner Hillar Sa linter view Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Karl J. Puttlitz	1621			
All Participants:	Status of Application:				
(1) Karl J. Puttlitz.	(3) Robert Barker.				
(2) <u>Martin Hay</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>12 December 2006</u>	Time:	·			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: metered does inhaler.					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: Rejections under sections 103 and 112, first paragraph					
Claims discussed:	· ·				
Prior art documents discussed: Keller et al.	·				
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:			
Part III.		·.			
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 					
		·			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	t/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative argued that the hydrochloride salt is unsuitable for delivery using a metered dose inhaler. In this regard, Applicants discovered that the L-tartrate salt unexpectedly provides a solution to administering levalbuterol to the lungs using a metered dose inhaler. Applicant will also consider providing arguments that the crystalline form covered in the claims is enabled in the specification.